

SJPO48

Re: Progress on St james' Placw, Bn

Wed, Sep 6, 2006 4:04 PM

From: Chris Maile <info@planningsanity.co.uk>
Reply-To: info@planningsanity.co.uk
Organization: Planning Sanity
To: "Colin B. Bennett" <colinbbennett@onetel.com>
Date: Sun, Dec 26, 2004, 10:14 PM
Subject: Re: Progress on St james' Placw, Bn

I may have missed this in the documents that you sent me, but can you send me the exact wording of the planning condition relating to the gate, I think that is very important. the circular on conditions 04/99 makes it clear that conditions should only be imposed if they are reasonable and enforceable, there s a strong case to the contrary but this aspect seems to have been overlooked in the rush to concentrate on the footpath issues, but as we are in a planning forum as opposed to paths closure forum it is better in my view to first tackle the planning issues, and the path issues last (horses for courses). To do a good job of that needs the exact wording of the condition.

Chris Maile