

**From:** Chris Maile <info@planningsanity.co.uk>  
**Reply-To:** info@planningsanity.co.uk  
**Organization:** Planning Sanity  
**To:** "Colin B. Bennett" <colinbbennett@onetel.com>  
**Date:** Sun, Dec 26, 2004, 7:16 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Progress on St james' Placw, Bn

---

Hi Colin I have now gone through all the docs, sorry it has taken so long, but it has been a busy time I am conscious of the date for submitting the docs, and of course whether this is going to turn into a full inquiry or stay as a hearing. I assume you want me to draft a preliminary statement, which I am now working on, as I see it the basic case has 3 strings.

- 1) Is this the appropriate procedure
- 2) Does the path need to be closed to allow permission for the gate
- 3) Is this an 'appropriate' condition to attach to the original permission.

There are clearly extensions of these basic arguments, and there is a number of supporting aspects to be raised not least of all the historic nature of the path. I hope to have that with you in the next couple of days as I am working on this full time now, but I do need to travel into Manchester to check up on some old case law before completing the doc. I assume you want me to send you a pasted version into the email rather than an attachment, and that any final document is printed in large text format (16 point?), bound and including all copies of reference material and sent on your behalf to Bristol and the LPA.

Please come back if you feel I have missed any of the core arguments

Chris Maile

On Friday 24 December 2004 09:44, you wrote:

- > Dear Hilary Woodward
- > I have discussed the matter of the auditory provisions in Committee Room 3
- > at Hove Town Hall on 9 February 2005 with Ian Hardy/ie and Martin Hilson. I
- > had earlier spoken to your Sonia Yendall? having failed to reach Fiona
- > Goffe.
- > No-one has ever been able to discover the alleged induction loop in that
- > Room. There appears to be a microphone in the ceiling but it is not
- > connected to anything. In any event, a microphone so far from speakers'
- > mouths is utterly useless.
- > I have established from Martin that an effort will be made to install a
- > PA/induction loop system in CR 3 by 9 Feb. This is unlikely to happen.
- > However, if that does not happen then equipment belonging to the Council
- > will be installed on a temporary basis. The term "hired in" used by FG gave
- > the wrong impression.
- > The hands-on person is Dacid Bond and my offer to test the set-up before 9
- > Feb has been accepted.
- > Copied to MH and Clive Richards at the PI in Bristol.
- > Yours

SJPO47-02

Progress on St James' Place, Bn

Wed, Sep 6, 2006 4:01 PM

- > Colin Bennett, Hove
- > My telephone number is: +44 (0)1273 325311. Note my "back-up" email
- > address: colinbbennett@yahoo.co.uk
- > This email has been approved by the Sikh Committee for Freedom of Speech..
- > Please use black font if you can!
- > Bush/Cheney: Four More Wars

I know I have now gone through all the stuff why it has taken so long, but it has been a busy time I was considering the merits for submitting the Docs, and of course what this is really a full inquiry or why as a hearing, I assume you want the written statement, which I am now working on as I don't see any other way to do things

- 1) Is this the original document?
- 2) Does the post need more detail to show permission for the gain
- 3) Is this an acceptable version to attach to the original permission

I have given you a number of these basic arguments, and there is a number of other points to be raised not least of all the historic nature of the case, and I have that with you in the next couple of days as I am getting ready for home now, but I do need to travel into Manchester to look at an some old case law before completing the doc. I assume you want me to send you a pasted version into the email rather than an attachment, and that any final document is printed in large text format (14 point) font and including all copies of reference material and can be read in Bristol and the LPA.

Please come back if you feel I have missed any of the points above.

Chris Mills

On Friday 24 December 2004 09:44, you wrote:  
Dear Phil (John?)

- > I have examined the merits of the auditory provisions in connection with the
- > 2005 with Ian Hartley and the
- > I had a conversation with Phil Tindall, having failed to reach a
- > Goffe
- > No-one has ever been able to discover the alleged induction system
- > Probe. There appears to be a microphone in the ceiling but it is not
- > connected to anything. In the event a microphone will be found
- > induction is a fairly use one
- > I have described from March 2004 effort will be made to make a
- > PA/induction loop system in CP 3-4-3 Feb. This is another proposal
- > However, if that does not happen then a system will be installed
- > will be installed on a temporary basis. The term "loop" is used by Phil and
- > the wrong impression
- > The hands-on person is David Bond and my offer to help the set-up before it
- > Feb has been accepted.
- > Copied to MI and Chris Richards at the PI in Bristol
- > Yours